



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJMCS_5567
Title of the Manuscript:	On commutative EKFN-ring with ascending chain condition on annihilators.
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. The proof of the main results of this paper does not have a lot of difficulty, but the paper contains many known results, such as taken from ref. [2], The authors should rewrite this paper to make it as short as possible. 2. This is not a well-writing paper, so I suggest that the authors should take a little bit attention on their English-writing. 3. The paper contains a lot of typing errors, I feel that the author should read their paper carefully before submitting it.	We have made the paper as short, then improved our English. Finally we have corrected typing errors.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. page 1, Abstract, line 1, "ie" should be "i. e. " 2. page 1, Abstract, line 4, "And let" should be "Let", there are many places like that. 3. page 2, line 13, "Since" should be "Since then," 4. page 2, line -3, "And then" should be cancelled. 5. page 3, line 4, "The characterization of the so called...." is unreadable.	We have changed "And let" to "Let" in the places like that, "Since" to "Since then". We have cancelled page 2, line -3 "And then".
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		